arXiv:1702.06723v1 [math.OC] 22 Feb 2017

Compact linear programs for 2SAT

David Avis[1,2] * Hans Raj Tiwary[3] †

February 23, 2017

Abstract

For each integer n we present an explicit formulation of a compact linear program, with $O(n^3)$ variables and constraints, which determines the satisfiability of any 2SAT formula with n boolean variables by a single linear optimization. This contrasts with the fact that the natural polytope for this problem, formed from the convex hull of all satisfiable formulas and their satisfying assignments, has superpolynomial extension complexity. Our formulation is based on multicommodity flows. We also discuss connections of these results to the stable matching problem.

Keywords: 2SAT, multicommodity flows, extension complexity, stable matchings

1 Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and let $x_1, ..., x_n, \bar{x_1}, ..., \bar{x_n}$ be a corresponding set of $2n$ boolean valued literals. An input for the 2SAT problem is a formula ϕ representing the conjunctive normal form of a collection of m clauses each of which contains two literals. The formula is satisfiable if an assignment of truth values to $x_1, ..., x_n$ makes at least one literal in each clause true. The 2SAT problem can be solved optimally in $O(n + m)$ time by an algorithm due to Aspvall et al. [1] based on path-finding. Let $w_1, ..., w_n$ be a set of n integer weights. The problem of finding a satisfying assignment of maximum weight is known as the weighted 2SAT problem. It is NP-hard since it contains the maximum independent set problem for graphs as a special case. In the main part of this note we are concerned with polyhedra related to the unweighted 2SAT problem.

Consider solving unweighted 2SAT for a formula ϕ by using a linear program of the form $max\ c^T y : Ay \leq b$ of small size. More formally we call such a formulation *compact* if: (a) the dimensions of A are polynomially bounded in n, (b) there is an $O(log\ n)$-space algorithm which constructs an objective function $c = c_\phi$ from formula ϕ, and (c) there is an $O(log\ n)$-space algorithm that takes the solution to $max\ c_\phi^T y : Ay \leq b$ and decides whether or not ϕ is satisfiable.

In [3] the authors showed that a natural LP formulation for 2SAT has superpolynomial extension complexity. Recently this lower bound was improved by Göös to $2^{\Omega(n/\log n)}$ where n is the size of the 2SAT formula [7]. This implies that there is no polyhedron of polynomial size that projects onto this polyhedron. However, since the unweighted 2SAT problem is in P and linear programming is P-complete, compact LPs for it must exist. In fact, in Avis et al. [2] a direct method is given to produce a compact LP from a polynomial time algorithm. Applied to the algorithm of [1] this produces an LP with $O(n^4 log\ n)$ constraints. The LP formulation is not explicit but is produced by

*Email: avis@cs.mcgill.ca

†Email: hansraj@kam.mff.cuni.cz

[1]GERAD and School of Computer Science, McGill University, 3480 University Street, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2A7.

[2]Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Yoshida Yoshida, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

[3]Department of Applied Mathematics (KAM) and Institute of Theoretical Computer Science (ITI), Charles University, Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Prague 1, Czech Republic.

a compiler[1] which transforms an implementation of the given algorithm in a simple programming language. In the next section we give an explicit compact LP for 2SAT with $O(n^3)$ constraints and variables which is based on multicommodity flows. In Section 3 we discussion connections with the stable matching problem and give some open problems.

2 2SAT

Let ϕ be an instance of 2SAT with n variables. We may assume that there is no clause of the form $x_i \vee \bar{x_i}$, since this is always satisfied. Therefore there are at most $2n^2 - n$ distinct clauses which we may label, say lexicographically, $C_1, ..., C_{2n^2-n}$. The formula ϕ may be expressed by a 0/1 vector y^ϕ of length $2n^2 - n$ by setting $y_i^\phi = 1$ if and only if $C_i \in \phi$. Let x denote a 0/1 vector of length n and $\mathbb{1}$ denote the vector of all ones, where the length is defined by the context. A natural polytope for 2SAT can be defined in $2n^2$ dimensions by

$$Q_n \;=\; \mathbb{CH}\;\{(y^\phi, x) : \forall\; x,\; \phi \; s.t.\; x \; is \; a \; satisfying \; assignment \; of \; \phi\} \tag{1}$$

which is the convex hull of all satisfiable formulae with their satisfying assignments.

Proposition 1. *Give a 2SAT formula ϕ we can solve either the unweighted or weighted satisfiability program by solving a single LP over Q_n, which has superpolynomial extension complexity.*

Proof. Let ϕ be a 2SAT formula and first consider the unweighted satisfiability problem. Define a vector c of length $2n^2 - n$ by setting $c_i = 1$ if $y_i^\phi = 1$ and $c_i = -1$ otherwise. Consider $z^* = \{max\; c^T y : (y, x) \in Q_n\}$ and let (y^*, x^*) be an optimal solution. It is easy to verify that $z^* = \mathbb{1}^T y^\phi$ if ϕ is satisfiable and $z^* \leq \mathbb{1}^T y^\phi \; - 1$ otherwise.

For the weighted satisfiability problem, let $w = (w_1, ..., w_n)$ be the vector of given integer weights and set $W = \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i|$. Consider $z^* = \{max\; c^T y + \frac{1}{3W} w^T x : (y, x) \in Q_n\}$. If ϕ is unsatisfiable, then $z^* \leq \mathbb{1}^T y^\phi - 1 + \frac{W}{3W} = \mathbb{1}^T y^\phi - \frac{2}{3}$. Otherwise $z^* \geq \mathbb{1}^T y^\phi - \frac{W}{3W} = \mathbb{1}^T y^\phi - \frac{1}{3}$. So again inspecting the value of z^* determines the satisfiability of ϕ. Let (y^*, x^*) be an optimum solution. When ϕ is satisfiable we must have $c^T y^* = \mathbb{1}^T y^\phi$ and x^* must be a satisfying assignment of ϕ. So the values of x_i^* at optimality will give a maximum weight satisfying assignment.

Next we consider the extension complexity of Q_n. First observe that if we fix a 2SAT formula ϕ and restrict ourselves to the face where the first $2n^2 - n$ coordinates are equal to y^ϕ we obtain a face of Q_n that contains all satisfying assignments for ϕ. It was shown in [3] that there is a family of 2SAT formulas ϕ_n, indexed by the number of variables n, for which the set of satisfying assignments has superpolynomial extension complexity. Since the satisfying assignments for the formula ϕ_n correspond to a face of Q_n this face has superpolynomial extension complexity. It follows that Q_n does also. □

The proposition implies that a direct approach to solving 2SAT as a linear program over Q_n will require exponentially many constraints. In what follows we give a completely different construction. It follows from the proposition that there will be no projection of this polytope onto Q_n.

In the sequel we define variables x_{n+i} to denote the literals $\bar{x}_i$, for $i = 1, ..., n$. Subscripts should be taken *mod* $2n$ and we usually omit this for clarity. For a given $n \geq 2$ consider the directed complete graph on $2n$ vertices labeled $x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}$. We add vertices $t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}$ and edges $(t_i, x_i), (x_i, t_i), (x_{n+i}, t_{n+i})$, and (t_{n+i}, x_{n+i}) to the graph to obtain a graph with $4n$ vertices and $\binom{2n}{2} + 4n = 2n^2 + 3n$ edges. Call this graph G_n. We define a multicommodity flow problem with $2n$ commodities on G_n as follows. For each $i = 1, ..., 2n$, there are a pair of terminals t_i and t_{n+i} and a distinct flow, labeled flow i, between them. The capacity on edge (t_i, x_i) is one and all other capacities

[1] https://gitlab.com/sparktope/sparktope

are infinite. Therefore a unit flow from t_i to t_{n+i} saturates only the initial edge and corresponds to a path in G_n between these two terminals. The sum of the maximum flows over all $2n$ commodities must therefore be at most $2n$ and this will be achieved if and only if there is a path between each of the $2n$ pairs of terminals. Now consider the following multicommodity flow polytope where f^k_{ab} denotes the value of flow k on edge ab of G_n and $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and zero otherwise.

$$
\begin{array}{rrcll}
 & \delta_{i,k} f^i_{t_i x_i} + \sum_{i \neq j} f^k_{x_j x_i} & = & \sum_{i \neq j} f^k_{x_i x_j} + \delta_{n+i,k} f^{n+i}_{t_{n+i} x_{n+i}} & i,k = 1, \cdots, 2n \\
P_n : & 0 \leqslant f^i_{t_i x_i} & \leqslant & 1 & i = 1, \cdots, 2n \\
 & f^k_{x_i x_j} & \geqslant & 0 & i,j,k = 1, \cdots, 2n, \\
 & & & & j \neq n+i
\end{array}
$$

Let f denote the ensemble of $2n\binom{2n}{2} + 4n = 4n^3 - 2n^2 + 4n$ variables $\{f^i_{t_i x_i}, f^k_{x_i x_j}\}$ ordered in any consistent way. The polytope P_n has $4n^3 - 2n^2 + 6n$ inequalities and $4n^2$ equations. Since the constraints defining the polytope form a totally unimodular matrix, the polytope has integral vertices.

Let ϕ be a 2-SAT formula and suppose it contains the clause $x_i \vee x_j$ for some i and j. This means that ϕ forces implications $\overline{x}_i \Rightarrow x_j$ and $\overline{x}_j \Rightarrow x_i$. In the graph G_n these implications correspond to edges x_{n+i}, x_j and x_{n+j}, x_i respectively. For each such clause that is missing from ϕ these implications are missing also. We turn G_n into an *implication graph* for ϕ by removing the corresponding edges for all missing clauses. In the flow setting, if clause $x_i \vee x_j$ is missing we set the variables $f^k_{x_{n+i} x_j} = f^k_{x_{n+j} x_i} = 0$, $k = 1, ..., 2n$. By so doing for each clause missing from ϕ we restrict ourselves to a face of P_n which we denote P^ϕ_n.

Lemma 2. *Any maximum flow lying on the face P^ϕ_n has $f^i_{t_i x_i} = f^{n+i}_{x_{n+i} t_{n+i}} = 1$ for some i if and only if the formula ϕ is unsatisfiable.*

Proof. If $f^i_{t_i x_i} = f^{n+i}_{x_{n+i} t_{n+i}} = 1$, for some i, then these two flows imply paths from x_i to x_{n+i} and back. The first path gives a chain of implications implying that if x_i is true then $x_{n+i} = \bar{x}_i$ is true, a contradiction. Similarly the second path gives implications showing that if x_i false then it is true. Therefore the formula ϕ is unsatisfiable.

Conversely, if ϕ is unsatisfiable then there is a path from x_i to x_{n+i} and a path from x_{n+i} to x_i. Thus a unit flow can be sent from t_i to t_{n+i} and from t_{n+i} to t_i and $f^i_{t_i x_i} = f^{n+i}_{x_{n+i} t_{n+i}} = 1$. Since these two paths cannot use any edges corresponding to missing clauses in ϕ, the corresponding flows lie on the face P^ϕ_n. □

Testing the satisfiability of ϕ can be achieved by maximizing a suitably chosen linear objective function over P_n designed so that all maximum solutions are found on the face P^ϕ_n.

Theorem 3. *Let ϕ be a 2-SAT formula with n variables. Consider the following LP:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^* \;=\; & \max \sum_{i=1}^{2n} f^i_{t_i x_i} - (2n+1) \sum_{\substack{(x_i \vee x_j) \notin \phi \\ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n}} (f^k_{x_{n+i} x_j} + f^k_{x_{n+j} x_i}) \\
& f \in P_n
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, ϕ is unsatisfiable if and only if at optimality there is an i such that $f^i_{t_i x_i} = f^{n+i}_{x_{n+i} t_{n+i}} = 1$. A certificate for the satisfiability/unsatisfiability of ϕ can be obtained from the optimum solution.

Proof. The LP is feasible, since this can be achieved by setting all variables to zero, and the objective function is upper bounded by $2n$. Therefore optimum solutions exist and $0 \leq z^* \leq 2n$. We show that they lie on the face P_n^ϕ. Consider any optimum vertex for the LP. As remarked above this is integral and is in fact 0/1 valued since $f^i_{t_i x_i} \leq 1, i = 1, ..., 2n$. Suppose that $(x_i \vee x_j)$ is a clause missing from ϕ. For $k = 1, ..., 2n$, if either of the variables $f^k_{x_{n+i}x_j}$ or $f^k_{x_{n+j}x_i}$ is set to one then this incurs a penalty of $-(2n+1)$ in the objective function. The rest of the objective function can only contribute $2n$ at most and so $z^* < 0$, a contradiction. Therefore all optimum vertices, and hence all optimum solutions, occur on the face P_n^ϕ. It now follows from Lemma 2 that the satisfiablility/unsatisfiability of ϕ can be determined from the optimum solution. The lemma gives a certificate of unsatisfiability. For a certificate of satisfiability we construct a satisfying assignment. For each i such that $f^i_{t_i x_i} = 1$ set $x_{n+i} = 1$ and $x_i = 0$. For each edge x_i, x_j with $x_i = 1$ and x_j unlabelled, set $x_j = 1$ and $x_{n+j} = 0$. Continuing in this way we label a set of strong components in G_n. If at termination there remain any unlabelled vertices x_i we choose one arbitrarily, set $x_i = 1$, $x_{n+i} = 0$ and continue as before. Since no strong component can contain both x_i and x_{n+i} we have consistently labelled G_n and have a satisfying assignment. □

Both the construction of the objective function and determining the (un)satisfiability of ϕ from the optimum solution can be done with $O(\log n)$ space. So the LP described in Theorem 3 is compact. As remarked earlier in this section this implies that it cannot be linearly projected onto Q_n and, in particular, the satisfying assignment x_i cannot be directly read from the optimum solution. Furthermore it apparently gives no insight into solving the weighted 2SAT problem.

3 Connections to stable matchings and open problems

From the point of view of extension complexity, 2SAT is an interesting problem since the unweighted version is in P and the weighted version is NP-hard. Although the natural polyhedral formulation of 2SAT has high extension complexity we have shown that a compact explicit polyhedral formulation for the unweighted version exists. A problem of similar type is the stable matching problem, which has many variations and has received considerable attention since the seminal Gale-Shapley paper in 1962. For recent developments see Cseh and Manlove [4].

In the stable matching problem we have a group of $2n$ people each of who has a list ranking the other $2n-1$ people in order of preference. A *matching* is a set of n pairs of people such that each person is in exactly one pair. An *blocking pair* is a set of 4 people i, j, k, l such that i is matched to k but prefers j, and j is matched to l but prefers i. A matching is *stable* if it contains no blocking pair. A considerable amount of research has been done on this problem and computationally the 2SAT problem and stable matchings are closely related. Like 2SAT, there is a polynomial time algorithm for the problem (Irving [9]) and the weighted version is NP hard (Feder [5]).

From the polyhedral viewpoint we can define a polytope R_{2n} for the stable matching which is analogous to Q_n for 2SAT. Each instance ψ for the stable matching problem consists of $2n$ permutations of $2n-1$ elements, each of which can be encoded as a $(2n-1)$ by $(2n-1)$ permutation matrix. Let the corresponding binary vector of length $2n(2n-1)^2$ be denoted y^ψ. A matching for ψ can be encoded as binary vector x of length $(2n-1)n$ where $x_{ij} = 1$ if and only if i and j are matched, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 2n$. We define $R_{2n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n(2n-1)(4n-1)}$ by

$$R_{2n} \;=\; \mathbb{CH}\ \{(y^\psi, x) : \forall\ x,\ \psi\ \textit{s.t. } x\ \textit{is a stable matching for}\ \psi\} \tag{2}$$

which is the convex hull of all stable matching problems with their stable matchings. Note that in the above we only consider instances ψ which have stable matchings. Since the weighted stable matching problem can be solved by an LP over R_{2n} we expect that it has high extension complexity, a fact we now prove.

Proposition 4. *R_{2n} has superpolynomial extension complexity.*

Proof. Theorem 8.2 of Feder [5] shows that a 2SAT formula with n variables can be transformed to a stable matching problem with $4n$ people so that the set of solutions of the 2SAT problem correspond to the set of solutions of the stable matching problem. Proceeding as in Proposition 1 we make use of the family of 2SAT formulas ϕ_n from [3] that yield a family of faces of 2SAT polytopes that have superpolynomial extension complexity. Applying Feder's transformation, each ϕ_n maps to an instance ψ_{4n} of the stable matching problem whose stable matchings correspond to the satisfying assignments of ϕ_n. If we now restrict ourselves to the face where the first $2n(2n-1)^2$ coordinates are $y^{\psi_{4n}}$ we obtain a face with all stable matchings of the instance ψ_{4n}. This is a face of R_{4n} with superpolynomial extension complexity, and the proposition follows. □

It is natural to ask if, like the 2SAT problem, there is an explicit compact LP formulation for the unweighted problem. Indeed it is known that a stable matching problem with $2n$ people can be formulated as a 2SAT problem with $O(n^2)$ variables and $O(n^2)$ clauses. Algorithms for finding this formulation were given by Gusfield [8], and Feder [6] whose algorithm requires $O(n^2)$ time and space. At first glance it may appear that we can use our compact formulation for 2SAT via this transformation of stable matching problems to 2SAT problems. Unfortunately this transformation cannot be performed using $O(log\ n)$ space so condition (b) of a compact formulation, as defined in the Introduction, fails. So for the moment we must fall back on the method of [2] to obtain a compact formulation with $O(n^4\ log\ n)$ constraints.

A final open problem of this type concerns the perfect matching problem which Rothvoß proved also has superpolynomial extension complexity [11]. The method of [2] applied to the $O(n^{2.5})$ time and $O(n^2)$ space implementation of Edmonds' algorithm by Micali and Vazirani [10] yields an LP that has $O(n^{4.5}\ log\ n)$ constraints. It is therefore of great interest to see if this bound can be significantly improved, and if an explicit compact LP formulation for the perfect matching problem exists.

Acknowledgments

Research of the first author is supported by the JSPS under a Kakenhi grant and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas, 'Exploring the Limits of Computation (ELC)'. The second author was supported by project GA15-11559S of GA ČR.

References

[1] Bengt Aspvall, Michael F. Plass, and Robert Endre Tarjan. A linear-time algorithm for testing the truth of certain quantified boolean formulas. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 8(3):121–123, 1979.

[2] David Avis, David Bremner, Hans Raj Tiwary, and Osamu Watanabe. Polynomial size linear programs for non-bipartite matching problems and other problems in P. *CoRR*, abs/1408.0807, 2014.

[3] David Avis and Hans Raj Tiwary. A generalization of extension complexity that captures P. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 115(6-8):588–593, 2015.

[4] Ágnes Cseh and David F. Manlove. Stable marriage and roommates problems with restricted edges: Complexity and approximability. *Discrete Optimization*, 20:62 – 89, 2016.

[5] Tomás Feder. A new fixed point approach for stable networks and stable marriages. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 45(2):233–284, 1992.

[6] Tomás Feder. Network flow and 2-satisfiability. *Algorithmica*, 11(3):291–319, 1994.

[7] Mika Göös, Rahul Jain, and Thomas Watson. Extension complexity of independent set polytopes. In *57th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS*, pages 565–572, 2016.

[8] Dan Gusfield. The structure of the stable roommate problem: Efficient representation and enumeration of all stable assignments. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 17(4):742–769, 1988.

[9] Robert W. Irving. An efficient algorithm for the "stable roommates" problem. *J. Algorithms*, 6(4):577–595, 1985.

[10] Silvio Micali and Vijay V. Vazirani. An $O(\sqrt{|V|}|E|)$ algorithm for finding maximum matching in general graphs. In *21st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, Syracuse, New York, USA, 13-15 October 1980*, pages 17–27, 1980.

[11] Thomas Rothvoß. The matching polytope has exponential extension complexity. In *Proceedings of the Forty-sixth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '14, pages 263–272. ACM, 2014.